May 10, 1978
Meeting \# 6

## MINUTES

The Faculty Senafe met on Wednesday, May 10, 1978, at $3: 30$ p.m. in the Senate Room of the Universit Center with Clarence A. Bell, President, presiding. Present were: Alexander, All\&n, Brittin, Chamberlain, Christian, Collins, Davis, Dale, Elbow, George, Gillas, Jebseq, Keho, Kimmel, Lee, McDonald, McGlynn, McLaughlin, McPherson, Mann, Mehaffie, Micha\&l, Nelson, Newcomb, Oberhelman, Ownes, Pearson, Rylamder, Sanders, Sasser, Shine, M. Smith, R. Smith, Strauss, Tereshkovich, Troub, Vines, Wagner, Walkup, Williams, Wilson, Wri申ht, Guests included Charles S. Hardwick, Vice President for Academic Affairs; Dr. Murray Havens, Political Science; Dr. Robert Davidow, School of Law; and Dr. T. Richafd Cheatham, Parliamentarian. Members absent were: Adamcik, Aycock, Burford, Cummins, Dixon, Eisssinger, Higdon, Hunter, Kellogg, L. Luchsinger, V. Luchsinger, McGowan, Manley, Mogan, Morris, Reichert, Sowell, Stoune and Wade.

Bell called the neeting to order at 3:30 and welcomed the guests.

## I. MINUTES OF THE APFIL 12, 1978, MEETING

Newcomb moved to approve the minutes as distributed. McPherson seconded. Lee and Wilson questioned changes in the Bylaws which were attached as a part of these minutes. In Section 2, President, the word all was deleted from the sentenee, making it read: The President shall preside at meetings of the Senate. In Section 3, Vice President, The Vice President shall preside at the meetings of the Senate in the absence of the President was added to the section. Lee had proposed both of the above changes in the form of a motion, but the Chairperson of the Bylaws Committee accepted these changes as a "friendly amendment", which he felt helped to clarify the meaning. The Chair ruled that the Senate did not need to vote on the matter under th申 circumstances so no vote was taken.

In Section 8, Agenda and Procedure, Wilson questioned whether the Sen te had voted to change the sentence to read: "The proposition and the committee's deport and recommendation shall be attached to the agenda for a future meeting (rather than for the next meeting) and no vote shall be taken by the Senate before such deeting". The tape recording and the written notes of the meeting were carefully checked and no record of a discussion on this matter was found.

## II. ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Appointments $=0$ Committee on Committees

The Bylaws of the Faculty Senate direct the President to appoint members to the Committee on Committees. Since this is the last meeting of the Senate for this year, Bell sqid that he had asked the members who had been servino on the committee during fhis academic year to continue to serve antil Mays 14. 1978 . Darrell Vines, Ro and Smith, Max Manley, Charles Wade, Helen Brittin, fim Eissinger, and Geqrge Tereshkavich are the seven members on this committee.

## 2. Appointment of a Faculty Senate Parliamentarian

Bell announced Cheatham to serve hat in accordance with our bylaws, he has asked Dr. H. Richard the appointment.

## 3. Appointments to ad hoc Retirement Investigation Committee

In accordance fith action by the Faculty Senate, the process of establishing an ad hoc commitfee to study the matter of retirement policies at Texas Tech has been initiated. fembers of that committee are: John H. Chinn, TTUSM; Gene L. Hemmle, Arts \& Sciences; Bruce Kramer, School of Law; Robert Mason, College of Ergineering; V̀irginia L. Tompkins, College of Home Economics.
4. Election Resq1ts

Election results for the Tenure and Privilege Committee and Faculty Development Committee were aqnounced. Tenure and Privilege Committee: Jacquelin Collins. Faculty Developm\&nt Committee: Roland Smith, Monty Strauss, Bruce Kramer, Stanley Fowler, and Will $a$ am Petty.
5. Academic Council Minutes

Minutes of the Apri1 11 and 24, 1978, meetings of the Academic Council are available in the faculty senate office. Some excerpts are below:

From the April
11 meeting.
" A leq was distributed of workload and workload policies gthy discussion was held regarding faculty workload. Information ight be premature until the Coordinating Board has had time to act upon the recommendations of its advisory committee which is now reviewing the question of facufty workload and small classes."
.........."The tentative supervisors manual for affirmative action was discussed. Deans were asked to review the material and be prepared to act upon a check list for faculty recruitment at the next Academic Council."
........." It wes announced that apparently some $3.62 \%$ of the advalorem tax funds which become avallable may be expected to be allocated to Texas Tech uhiversity if the court suit to broaden the distribution of these funds is not sufcessful."
.........." Deans were asked to consider changing the time of classes from a $7: 30$ a.m. beginning to an 8:00 a.m. beginning for each daily cycle. Deans were asked to obtain feedback from faculty and department chairpersons as to the relptive benefits and problems whigh might be caused by changing the beginning hour."

From the April 25 th meeting........
........." The tenure policy revision document was discussed. Commenks were solicited from dqans to the tenure policy review committee. Written opmments were requested to be sent to Dr. Hardwick before May 12, 1978. A quesfion was raised as to whether the review committee draft should be referred to the Academic Council before it is submitted to the President."
.........." A revised draft of a check list for faculty recruitment was distributed. Deans were asked to review and make comments at the next Academic Council meeting. Several questions were raised pertaining to development of job descriptions for faculty. The quastions revolved about the elements to be included, the extent of the description and advertising."
．．．．．．．．．＂The possible change in beginning class times from 7：30 a．中．to 8：00 a．m was discussed briefly．It was pointed out that it was difficult to $f f 11$ classes．in late afternoon apd that early classes might be of benefit to some indfviduals who work full time and take a limited number of hours．In general，feedbeck indicated that most chairpersons appeafed to be willing for the change to be made and that there was no strpng feeling for the maintenance of the 7：30 beginning hour．＂
．．．．．．．．．＂A discussion draft related to faculty workload，which was prepared by equivalencies an be prepared to discuss the draft at the next meeting．＇
．．．．．．．．．．＂A revised memorandum relating to selection of chairpersond and associate deans was distributed．＂
．．．．．．．．．．＂A prpposal for the development of a College of Fine Arts fas distributed and liscussed briefly．Deans were asked to be prepared to make a recommendation on the request at the next Academic Council meeting．＂
．．．．．．．．．．＂Dr．Hardwick drew attention to the need for faculty accepfance for professional responsibilities．The Faculty Senate＇s concern for this matter was recognized．＂

After distribufion of the agenda，minutes of another Academic Counid meeting， Meeting 非13，dated May 2，1978，were received in the Faculty Senate office． Excerpts from those minutes follow：
．．．．．．．．．．＂An extensive discussion was held regarding development of teaching load standards and a policy to guide academic workload．The council members approved a concept of 9 semester credit hours of teaching in organized undergradyate classes as a minimum for a fong semester．Council members also favored averaging of teaching loads over the tro semesters．

The council emphasized that there was an expectation for scholarly desearch and university related services which were applicable beyond minimal dlass teaching requireqents．The Academic Affairs Office was asked to revise a draft policy and guidefine for further study．＂

## 6．Professors＇Absenteeism

As directed by been revised and
action of the Senate，the letter on professors＇absenteeism has an appropriate mailing is being prepared．

Be11 commented that he had deliberately delayed sending the letter out，partly to wait until affer the primary election was over in order to have a more accurate list of persons tho should get copies of the letter．Secondly，he felt the Senate should see the revised letter before it was mailed，and perhaps the Senate might wish to reqonsider sending the letter．

Professor Owen $\$$ questioned the advisability of sending the letter on professors＇ absenteeism．Mcfaughlin，Shine，Bell and Collins entered into discussion．Collins moved to reconsider the question of sending this letter．Seconded frqm the floor． The motion to reqonsider sending the letter on professors＇s absenteeism passed．

The original mption of whether or not to send the letter was then considered. Vines, Walkup, Hafdwick, Strauss, and Wagner discussed the matter. Th motion failed. The letter will npt be mailed.
III. PROCEDURE ON APPOINTMENTS TO UNIVERSITY COMMITTEES - Sasser

Sasser moved to aake the motion on procedures and committee appointments from the table. Newcomb seconfed. Motion passed.

Sasser's second qotion, seconded from the floor, was to substitute a pevised motion for the consideration of the Senate. Discussion. Vines spoke against the motion. R. Smith moved, seconded from the floor, to amend Sasser's motion by deleting the following sentences: (1) The Chairperson of the Committee on Committees shall collect and compile the sheet returned by the Faculty. (2) The names of all nominees by the Faculty shall be circhlated to members of the Faculty Senate. The amendment to strike the above two sentences passed. The motion to adopt the Sasser motion as amended passed. The amended potion, as approved, is as follows:

1. The Committee on Committees shall distribute, at least three weeks before it reports nominations to the Senate, information and nomination sheets to all voting faculty These sheets shall contain:
a. The nam\& of each committee for which vacancies are to be filled.
b. The number of vacancies to be filled on each committee.
c. Any spedial requirements for nomination to each committee, e.g., dstribution among colleges, tenure requirements.
d. Blank spaces for the faculty to nominate, to supply qualifications of nominee, and to allow a statement explaining the special expertise of each nominee, appropriate to the nature of the committee.
2. The Committee on Committees shall nominate a person, or persons, at their discretion, for each vacancy on Senate Committees and list as many mominees as the President of the University requests for nomination to University Committees.
3. The Committee on Committees shall circulate to the Senators, with the agenda for the senate meefing, its list of nominees for senate and university oommittees.
4. At the Senate qeeting, Senators may amend the list of committee nominees only by moving to strike a name and substitute another. If the Committee on Committees chooses to place several names before the Senate for Senate Committees the list may $b=$ amended as provided above, and the Senate shall vote to fill each vacancy. Otherwise the nominees of the Committee on Committees will stand approved.
IV. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES - Vines

Vines moved the adoption of the charge of the Academic Affairs and Status Committee, seconded from the flopr. Motion passed.

Vines moved that the Senate accept the nominees of the Committee on Committees, seconded from the flopr, for three committees; the ad hoc Retirement Investigation Committee, ad hoc Comnittee to Select Faculty to Attend Out-of-Town Footbad 1 Games and the Academic Affairs and Status Committee. Vines, Bell, Strauss and P\&arson
entered into discussipn.
R. Smith moved, peconded from the floor, to strike one name from the fist of nominees and approve the remainder of the nominations. The motion to strike one name and approve the femaining nominees for the three committees passed.

Each member of the Committee on Committees summarized and commented on the annual reports of the commit qees for which he/she was responsible. Copies of these annual reports are on file in the Faculty Senate office for all interested persons to read. No annual report was feceived from the following committees: Academic Publifations Policy Committee, Admissions and Registration Committee, Artists and Speakeks Committee, Benefits and Retirement Committee, Campus Security and Emergency Committee, Parking Violation Appqals Committee, Radiation Safety Committee, Red Raider Committee, Special Hearing Panel for Tenure and Privilege Committee, Student Financial Aids/ Scholarship Committee, Student Service Fee Allocation Committee, Tenure and Committee, University Discipline Committee, and University Discipline Appeans Committee.

Newcomb moved, and Kimmel seconded, that the President of the Faculty S nate write a letter to the President of the Uhiversity calling to his attention the fadt that the Affirmative Action Comnittee has not met this academic year and informing him that the Senate believes this cpmmittee has important functions to perform. This mafter was discussed by Lee, Nelspn, R. Smith and Bell. The motion passed. Bell will write a letter as directed.
V. TENURE POLICY REVI車W - Newcomb

Newcomb suggested the Faculty Senate consider the substance of the report of the ad hoc Committee on Tequre Review. Discussion by Vines and Keho. A "straw vote" by Senators indicated that they did not wish to discuss this matter further
VI. FACULTY WORKLOAD 型OLICY - Hardwick

Discussion of academic workloads mentioned in Academic Council minutes of meetings is in conjunction with the work Jac Collins is doing with the Coordinating Bpard Committee on workloads. There is consideration of the adoption of a workload policy at this university prion to the next legislative session. Hopefully, if Tech has a policy which is more or less in keeping with what other universities may be donsidering, we can go into the legislature with a united front, in the sense that Tech's policy and other university policies will be similar.

The last legislative session established the Coordinating Board Committhe which is to review workloads and to establish guidelines, in terms of which all univetsities are to operate. Each instifution is charged with establishing its own workload folicy, approved by its own Boafd of Regents. Here at Tech the whole matter has been delayed, waiting for that commit fee to establish the guidelines.

There was a discuspion of minimum workloads and finally an invitation fyom Dr. Hardwick for input from faculty members on this matter. Bell stated that a gopy of the draft of the workloqd policy would be sent to all faculty members for their consideration.
VII. REPORT OF THE I NOCATIONS COMMITTEE - Pearson

Pearson introduc\&d the report and recommendations of the ad hoc committee, a copy of which was profided to Senators. McLaughlin moved, R. Smith secondqt, that the Senate endorse the report of the Invocations Committee and recommend to the President of the University the implementation of the committee's recommendetion, namely, the discontinqance of invocations at Texas Tech football games. Vines' motion to table the metter for further study died for lack of a second. Mctaughlin's motion passed with tw abstentions.
VIII. COMMENDATION - Keho

Keho moved, secorded from the floor, that the President of the Faculty Senate write a letter to Dr. Mackey (with reference to the Avalanche Journal article of April 30, 1978). Cop; es of the proposed commendation were distributed to spnators. Newcomb moved, seconded from the floor, to strike the last sentence of the priginal version.

Discussion followed and Newcomb's amendment passed. Pearson moved, sefonded from the floor, to strike the word "concerning" and add at the beginning "wl deplore the" and delete the omma after the word "university", substituting the wof "and". Pearson's amendment passed. The commendation as amended read:
"We deplore the recent newspaper coverage of your first year and half as President of Texas Tech University and we wish to express our appreciation and commendation for the manner with which you hque carried out the duties of your office."

Oberhelman moved, seconded by Newcomb, that the President of the Faculty Senate write a letter to the editor of the Avalanche Journal, other local news medfa and to the Board of Regents indicating that the Faculty Senate passed without opposition on May 10, 1978, the cotmendation as stated above.

Nelson spoke against sending a letter to the news media.
Oberhelman's motion failed.
IX. OTHER BUSINESS

McGlynn moved, seconded by Wright, the adoption of the following res "Whereas the Registrar's Office has attempted to improve the reporting of withdrawals by experimenting with a computerized repor fing system to replace the mailing and handling of drop slips, and

Whereas the partial implementation of this system in the current semester has resulted in some errors and inconveniences of which the registrar's office may not be aware,

Be it resolved that the registrar be requested to delay full implementation of the new feporting system until at least the Spring 1979 semester and, even then, only after an assessment of the system by faculty members teaching large classes."

McGlynn's motion passed. The president of the Faculty Senate will write a letter to the registrar's office, conveying the resolution. Copies of the letfer will be

The meeting adjourned at 5:45 pom.


Roland E. Smith, Secretary Faculty Senate 6/1/78

If the Unifersity is to function properly, faculty members in their normal ac\&demic assignment are expected to carry out a number of essential functions including academic advising and counseling; supervision of practica, internships and clinical teaching; directing individual study, theses and dissertations; curriculum development, scholarly work and research, and institutional and puplic service. For these reasons, faculty workload should not be equated directly to or 1 imited to student semester credit hours taukht, classroom contadt hours or any other single factor.

Responsibilities for developing appropriate workloads should rest with departmental cheirpersons and deans; assignments pre reviewed at the vice presidential level and reported to the President and Board of Regents each term.

In compliance with Sections 51.402 and 51.403 of the Tqxas Education Code, this is to establish minimal teaching load fequirements for faculty. Each faculty member paid full time from the appropriations item "Faculty Salaries", and who engages in \$cholarly research and university related services is expected to teaqh an average minimum load each academic year of 9 semester credit hours of instruction in organized undergraduate classes per long semester, with teaching load adjustments and equivalencies established by the appropriate university administrative officers.

Appropriate officers of the institution shall establish additional standards as necessary to meet the instructional needs of the University. to the portion of salary paid from funds appropriated for instructional purposes.

Academic Affairs Policy Draft May 4, 1978

Each faculdy member paid full time from the appropriations iter "Faculty Salarios," and who engages in scholarly research and univepsity related services is expected to teach an average minimum load each academic year of nine semester credit hours of instruction in organ zed undergraduate cqurses per long term semester, with teaching load adfustments and equivalencies as follows:labs, physical ed activity, clinical, studio art,

Individual instraction (e.g., honors programs, individual research projeqts, student teaching supervision, clinical or intern supetvision) Undergraduat



Equivalent Acadenfic assignment* 3 hr .
(e.g., academi advising or significant temporary responsibilitiles related to the institution as a whole)
*With prior approval of Dean and Academic Vice President

